Serial No.:10/808,155

Response to Office action dated March 07, 2007

Page 9 of 20

Statement of the substance of the Interview

On June 04, 2007, Thomas E. Lees, on behalf of the applicants, conducted a telephone interview with Examiner Pham of the USPTO. Thanks to the Examiner once again, for the time and consideration during the telephone interview. No demonstrations were utilized. Additionally, no exhibits were transmitted to the Examiner.

During the interview, the imaging operation disclosed in the primary reference cited in the Office action, U.S. Pat. No. 6,441,915 to *Imaizumi et al.* was discussed relative to the method for electronically adjusting an image to compensate for laser beam process direction position errors in an electrophotographic apparatus as claimed in claim 1 of the present application.

The thrust of the applicants arguments during the interview was that *Imaizumi* does not teach or suggest performing pixel shifts on select columns of image data based upon a bow profile that characterizes process direction position errors of Pels written by a laser beam as it traverses generally in a scan direction, to define adjusted image data, storing the adjusted image data to a second memory location and deriving a laser signal from the adjusted image data. The details of the above arguments are substantially as set out in the Arguments/Remarks section of this paper. The thrust of the Examiner's arguments are substantially as set out in the Office action mailed March 07, 2007. No agreement was reached with regard to the claims.